GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2013

Η

H.B. 212 Mar 5, 2013 HOUSE PRINCIPAL CLERK D

HOUSE DRH70065-MEa-16 (12/06)

	Short Title:	Create North Carolina Accountability Report.	(Public)						
	Sponsors:	Representative R. Brawley.							
	Referred to:								
1		A BILL TO BE ENTITLED							
2 3	AN ACT TO CREATE THE NORTH CAROLINA ACCOUNTABILITY REPORT, TO BE OPERATED BY THE PROGRAM EVALUATION DIVISION.								
4	The General	Assembly of North Carolina enacts:							
5	S	ECTION 1. G.S. 120-36.12 is amended by adding a new subdiv	ision to read as						
6	follows:								
7	"§ 120-36.12	2. Duties of Program Evaluation Division.							
8	The Prog	gram Evaluation Division of the Legislative Services Comm	ission has the						
9	following po	wers and duties:							
10									
11	(1	1) To create and maintain the North Carolina Accountabil	lity Report, as						
12		required by G.S. 120-36.19."	•						
13	S	ECTION 2. Article 7C of Chapter 120 of the General Statutes	is amended by						
14	adding a new	v section to read as follows:	·						
15		. North Carolina Accountability Report.							
16		he Program Evaluation Division shall create and maintain the	North Carolina						
17		ty Report. The report shall be published in a publically availa							
18		format and shall profile State departments and programs within each department. The profile							
19		partment or program shall (i) describe why it exists, how it is fu							
20	-	es exist and (ii) include references to pertinent information, incl							
21		t reports, Program Evaluation Division reports, and similar resea	-						
22		y searchable and shall be indexed by categories defined by the Prog	-						
23	Division.		·						
24	(b) E	ach program profile shall contain a Program Evaluation Division ra	ting. The rating						
25		d upon the following criteria and questions:							
26	(1								
27		a. Is the program's purpose and design explicit and sound	d?						
28		b. What public problem is the program seeking to re							
29		public service is the program seeking to provide?							
30		c. Is the program duplicative or redundant?							
31		d. Are there any impediments to the program's success	ss? How is the						
32		program identifying and addressing such impediments							
33	<u>(2</u>		—						
34	<u> </u>	<u>a.</u> <u>Does the program have a strategic plan?</u>							
35		b. Does the plan assess strengths, weaknesses, opportuni	ties, and threats						
36		or challenges?							



General Assem	bly of N	North Carolina	Session 2013				
	<u>c.</u>	Does the plan contain strategies for ma	intaining or enhancing				
		strengths, addressing weaknesses, taking adv					
		and minimizing or containing threats or chall	enges?				
	<u>d.</u>	Does the plan contain a method for me					
		strategies?					
	<u>e.</u>	How are results reported?					
	<u>f.</u>	Is the plan periodically reviewed and revised	<u>?</u>				
<u>(3)</u>	Prog	ram management:					
	<u>a.</u>	How are employees organized and supervised	<u>1?</u>				
	<u>b.</u>	Are there organizational charts?					
	<u>c.</u>	Are work processes documented or flow-char	rted?				
	<u>d.</u>	How are resources allocated among activities					
	<u>e.</u>	Are managers held accountable for the cost,	quantity, and quality of				
		results?					
	<u>f.</u>	Is the program subject to internal audits?					
	<u>g.</u>	Are expensive assets such as real property	•				
		computer equipment subject to management					
	<u>h.</u>	Are financial management practices free of	material weaknesses or				
		<u>repeat audit findings?</u>					
<u>(4)</u>	Prog	ram Results:					
	<u>a.</u>	How does the program measure outputs or un					
	<u>b.</u>	How does the program measure outcomes or	-				
	<u>c.</u>	How does the program establish standar	ds for the quality and				
		quantity of outputs and outcomes?					
	<u>d.</u>	Based upon independent evaluation, is there	-				
		the program results are attributable to the pr	ogram and did not come				
		about by chance or other factors?					
	<u>e.</u>	Does the program use evaluation results in	i strategic planning and				
The Dreamon Ex	al	<u>management for continuous improvement?</u>					
The Program Evaluation Division shall develop a scale by which to measure the answers to the questions in this subsection, as well as when low performance would require an improvement							
		-	require an improvement				
plan from progra (c) The (f Budget and Management shall assist the Prog	rom Evoluction Division				
		their costs. When identifying programs, the					
		vly than the program is defined by the State					
		of a larger program as a "program." Addition					
		may define a "program" more broadly than th	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
		ich as by identifying coordinated programs or					
as a single "prog		the as by radiationing coordinated programs of	erosery related programs				
		ments shall provide information for the Acco	untability Report to the				
	-	-					
<u>Program Evaluation Division upon the request of the Division and shall answer all questions</u> from the Division within 30 days of request. Upon the request of the department or institution,							
the Division may extend this 30-day time limit once by an additional 15 days. If the Division							
does not receive a response for a program within the allowed time, the Division may rate the							
program "Not Accountable for Failure to Respond."							
(e) As used in this section, the term "department" shall be interpreted broadly to include							
any State department, agency, commission, or institution."							
		3. G.S. 120-131.1 reads as rewritten:					
		ts from legislative employees for assistance	e in the preparation of				
	-	and evaluation reports. notes, evaluation					
liscal	I HOUL	and evaluation reports. <u>notes</u> , evaluation	on reports, or morth				

1 2 A request, and any accompanying documents, made to an agency employee by a (a1) 3 legislative employee of the Program Evaluation Division for assistance in the preparation of an 4 evaluation report report, or in the preparation of the North Carolina Accountability Report, is 5 confidential. The request and any accompanying documents are not "public records" as defined 6 by G.S. 132-1. An agency employee who receives a request under this subsection or who learns of such a request made to another agency employee of his or her agency may reveal the 7 8 existence of the request to other agency employees to the extent that it is necessary to respond 9 to the request and to the agency employee's supervisor. All documents prepared by the agency 10 employee in response to the request of a legislative employee of the Program Evaluation 11 Division are confidential, shall be kept confidential in the same manner as the original request, 12 and are not "public records" as defined in G.S. 132-1. 13 "

SECTION 3. Recognizing that scoring all State programs will take several years, the Program Evaluation Division shall first catalogue and profile state programs, as described in G.S. 120-36.19(a), as created by Section 2 of this act. The Division shall prioritize the order in which to rate State programs using the criteria in G.S. 120-36.19(b), as created by Section 2 of this act, and shall develop a schedule by which State programs will periodically be reviewed and regraded by the Division.

SECTION 4. Effective July 1, 2013, the sum of eight hundred thirty-nine thousand seven hundred fourteen dollars (\$839,714) is appropriated from the General Fund to the Legislative Services Commission, Program Evaluation Division, for fiscal year 2013-2014, recurring, for the purpose of implementing and maintaining the North Carolina Accountability Report, as created by this act. That sum shall be allocated to the following positions, which are hereby created, as well as for equipment and other costs:

26		Number of	Salaries &			
27	Position Description	Positions	Benefits	Equipment	Other	Total
28	Web Specialist/Evaluato	r 1	\$68,455	\$1,500	\$1,000	\$70,955
29	Program Evaluator I	4	\$273,820	\$6,000	\$4,000	\$283,820
30	Research Assistant	9	\$484,939	\$13,000	\$9,000	\$484,939
31	SECTION 5.	Except as oth	erwise provide	d, this act is eff	fective whe	en it becomes

32 law.