GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2011

H 3

HOUSE BILL 659 Committee Substitute Favorable 4/20/11 Third Edition Engrossed 6/3/11

Short Title: C	apital Procedure/Severe Mental Disability.	(Public)
Sponsors:		
Referred to:		
	April 7, 2011	
	A BILL TO BE ENTITLED	
AN ACT TO A	MEND THE CAPITAL TRIAL, SENTENCING, AND	POSTCONVICTION
	RES FOR A PERSON WITH A SEVERE MENTAL DI	
PROVIDE 7	THAT INSANITY IS NOT AVAILABLE AS A DEFENS	SE TO A CRIMINAL
ACTION IF	PRIOR ALCOHOL OR DRUG USE OR BOTH ARE TH	IE SOLE CAUSE OF
THE PSYC	CHOSIS OR IF VOLUNTARY INTOXICATION,	A VOLUNTARY
DRUGGED	CONDITION, OR BOTH COMBINED ARE THE SC	LE SUPPORT FOR
THE DEFE	NSE.	
	reas, leading State and national mental health organization	
prohibition on imposition of the death penalty for persons with a severe mental disability at the		
	mission of the crime; and	
Whereas, specifically, the American Psychological Association, the American		
<u>-</u>	ociation, and the National Alliance on Mental Illness ha	
-	sons with a severe mental disability from the imposition	of the death penalty;
and		
	reas, the American Bar Association recently endorsed the	call for the end of the
	r persons with a severe mental disability; Now, therefore,	
	sembly of North Carolina enacts:	
	TION 1. Article 100 of Chapter 15A of the General St	atutes is amended by
adding a new se		1 11 14 1
	efendant with severe mental disability; death sentence	
	nition. – For purposes of this section, the term "severe me	
	bility or defect that significantly impairs a person's capa	
	opreciate the nature, consequences, or wrongfulness of the	_
-	ense; (ii) exercise rational judgment in relation to the crit	
	son's conduct to the requirements of the law in connect	ion with the criminal
offense.	A montal dischility manifestal mimorily has accessed	animinal assistes
<u>(1)</u>	A mental disability manifested primarily by repeated attributable solely to the acute effects of alcohol or of	
	standing alone, constitute a severe mental disability	for purposes of this
	section.	
<u>(2)</u>	The defendant has the burden of production and persu	
	by clear and convincing evidence (i.e., active, res	
	symptoms) that the mental disability was manifested	at some date prior to



the defendant's alleged conduct at the time of the offense in order to meet the test of severe mental disability under the provisions of this section.

- (b) Death Penalty Prohibited for Defendant With Severe Mental Disability at Time of Commission of Criminal Offense. Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary, no defendant who had a severe mental disability at the time of the commission of the criminal offense shall be sentenced to death.
- (c) Pretrial Hearing to Determine Severe Mental Disability. Upon motion of the defendant, supported by appropriate affidavits, the court shall order a pretrial hearing to determine if the defendant had a severe mental disability at the time of the commission of the offense. The defendant has the burden of production and persuasion to demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant had a severe mental disability at the time of the criminal offense. If the court determines that the defendant had a severe mental disability at the time of the criminal offense, the court shall declare the case noncapital, and the State shall not seek the death penalty against the defendant.
- (d) Pretrial Determinations; Effect on Legal Defenses. Anyone found to be under the influence of a severe mental disability at the time of the commission of the criminal offense pursuant to this statute shall waive a defense of not guilty by reason of insanity. The pretrial determination of the court shall not preclude the defendant from raising any other legal defense during trial.
- (e) Procedure at Sentencing Hearing Regarding Determination of Severe Mental Disability. If the court does not find in the pretrial proceeding that the defendant had a severe mental disability at the time of the commission of the criminal offense, the defendant may introduce evidence during the sentencing hearing regarding the disability. If, during the sentencing hearing, the defendant introduces evidence regarding the disability, the court shall submit a special issue to the jury as to whether the defendant had a severe mental disability at the time of the commission of the criminal offense. These special issues shall be considered and answered by the jury prior to the consideration of aggravating or mitigating factors and the determination of sentence. If the jury determines that the defendant had a severe mental disability at the time of the commission of the criminal offense, the court shall declare the case noncapital, and the defendant shall be sentenced to life imprisonment.
- (f) Burden of Production and Persuasion. The defendant has the burden of production and persuasion to demonstrate to the jury by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant had a severe mental disability at the time of the commission of the criminal offense.
- (g) <u>Jury Consideration of Severe Mental Disability</u>. If the jury determines that the defendant did not have a severe mental disability as defined by this section at the time of the commission of the criminal offense, the jury may consider any evidence of the disability presented during the sentencing hearing when determining mitigating factors and the defendant's sentence.
- (h) Penalties That May Be Imposed on Convicted Defendant With a Severe Mental Disability. The provisions of this section do not preclude the sentencing of an offender who has a severe mental disability as defined by this section to any other sentence authorized by G.S. 14-17 for the crime of murder in the first degree."

SECTION 2. G.S. 15A-2000(b) reads as rewritten:

"(b) Sentence Recommendation by the Jury. – Instructions determined by the trial judge to be warranted by the evidence shall be given by the court in its charge to the jury prior to its deliberation in determining sentence. The court shall give appropriate instructions in those cases in which evidence of the defendant's mental retardation requires the consideration by the jury of the provisions of G.S. 15A-2005. The court shall also give appropriate instructions in those cases in which evidence of the defendant's severe mental disability requires the consideration by the jury of the provisions of G.S. 15A-2007. In all cases in which the death penalty may be authorized, the judge shall include in his instructions to the jury that it must

consider any aggravating circumstance or circumstances or mitigating circumstance or circumstances from the lists provided in subsections (e) and (f) which may be supported by the evidence, and shall furnish to the jury a written list of issues relating to such aggravating or mitigating circumstance or circumstances.

After hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and instructions of the court, the jury shall deliberate and render a sentence recommendation to the court, based upon the following matters:

- (1) Whether any sufficient aggravating circumstance or circumstances as enumerated in subsection (e) exist;
- (2) Whether any sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances as enumerated in subsection (f), which outweigh the aggravating circumstance or circumstances found, exist; and
- (3) Based on these considerations, whether the defendant should be sentenced to death or to imprisonment in the State's prison for life.

The sentence recommendation must be agreed upon by a unanimous vote of the 12 jurors. Upon delivery of the sentence recommendation by the foreman of the jury, the jury shall be individually polled to establish whether each juror concurs and agrees to the sentence recommendation returned.

If the jury cannot, within a reasonable time, unanimously agree to its sentence recommendation, the judge shall impose a sentence of life imprisonment; provided, however, that the judge shall in no instance impose the death penalty when the jury cannot agree unanimously to its sentence recommendation."

SECTION 3. Article 100 of Chapter 15A of the General Statutes is amended by adding a new section to read:

"§ 15A-2008. Request for postconviction determination of severe mental disability.

In cases in which the defendant has been convicted of first degree murder, sentenced to death, and is in custody awaiting imposition of the death penalty, the following procedures apply:

- (1) Notwithstanding any other provision or time limitation contained in Article 89 of Chapter 15A of the General Statutes, a defendant may seek appropriate relief from the defendant's death sentence upon the ground that the defendant submits credible and verifiable evidence of a prior severe mental disability, as defined in G.S. 15A-2007(a), at the time of the commission of the capital crime.
- (2) A motion seeking appropriate relief from a death sentence on the ground that the defendant had a severe mental disability at the time of the commission of the capital crime, shall be filed:
 - <u>a.</u> On or before January 31, 2012, if the defendant's conviction and sentence of death were entered prior to October 1, 2011.
 - b. Within 150 days of the imposition of a sentence of death if the defendant's trial was in progress on October 1, 2011. For purposes of this section, a trial is considered to be in progress if the process of jury selection has begun.
- (3) The motion seeking relief from a death sentence upon the ground that the defendant had a severe mental disability shall comply with the provisions of G.S. 15A-1420. The procedures and hearing on the motion shall follow and comply with G.S. 15A-1420. Upon motion of the defendant, supported by appropriate affidavits, the court shall order a hearing to determine if the defendant had a severe mental disability at the time of the commission of the offense."

psychosis.

1 2

SECTION 4. Article 52 of Chapter 15A of the General Statutes is amended by adding a new section to read:

"§ 15A-960. Insanity defense is not available when drug or alcohol use is the sole cause of

3 4 5

6

7

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, insanity is not available as a defense in either of the following circumstances: (i) prior alcohol use, prior drug use, or both are the sole cause of the psychosis or (ii) voluntary intoxication, a voluntary drugged condition, or both combined are the sole support for the defense."

8 9 10

11

12

SECTION 5. Sections 1, 2, and 4 of this act become effective October 1, 2011, and apply to trials docketed to begin on or after that date. Section 3 of this act becomes effective October 1, 2011, and expires October 1, 2012. Section 5 of this act is effective when this act becomes law.