GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA SESSION 2001

S

SENATE BILL 173* Corrected Copy 2/20/01 Judiciary II Committee Substitute Adopted 4/19/01 Fourth Edition Engrossed 4/23/01 House Committee Substitute Favorable 7/4/01 Sixth Edition Engrossed 7/16/01

Short Title:	No Death Penalty/Mentally Retarded.	(Public)
Sponsors:		
Referred to:		
	February 19, 2001	

1				A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
2	AN ACT	TO PH	ROVIE	DE THAT A MENTALLY RETARDED PERSON CONVICTED
3				E MURDER SHALL NOT BE SENTENCED TO DEATH.
4				v of North Carolina enacts:
5			•	1. Article 100 of Chapter 15A of the General Statutes is amended
6	by adding			on to read:
7				ly retarded defendants; death sentence prohibited.
8	(a)	(1)		following definitions apply in this section:
9	<u>(u/</u>	<u>_/</u>	<u>a.</u>	<u>Mentally retarded. – Significantly subaverage general</u>
10			<u>u.</u>	intellectual functioning, existing concurrently with significant
11				limitations in adaptive functioning, both of which were
12				manifested before the age of 18.
13			<u>b.</u>	Significant limitations in adaptive functioning. – Significant
14			<u>.</u>	limitations in two or more of the following adaptive skill areas:
15				communication, self-care, home living, social skills, community
16				use, self-direction, health and safety, functional academics,
17				leisure skills and work skills.
18			c.	Significantly subaverage general intellectual functioning. – An
19			_	intelligence quotient of 70 or below.
20		(2)	The	defendant has the burden of proving significantly subaverage
21		<u> </u>		al intellectual functioning, significant limitations in adaptive
22				ioning, and that mental retardation was manifested before the age
23			-	3. An intelligence quotient of 70 or below on an individually
24				nistered, scientifically recognized standardized intelligence
25				ent test administered by a licensed psychiatrist or psychologist is
			-	

6

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

SESSION 2001

1	evidence of significantly subaverage general intellectual functioning;
2	however, it is not sufficient, without evidence of significant limitations
3	in adaptive functioning and without evidence of manifestation before
4	the age of 18, to establish that the defendant is mentally retarded."
4 5	
5 6	(b) Notwithstanding any provision of law to the contrary, no defendant who is
7	<u>mentally retarded shall be sentenced to death.</u>
8	(c) Upon motion of the defendant, supported by appropriate affidavits, the court may order a protrial baaring to determine if the defendant is montally retarded. The
o 9	may order a pretrial hearing to determine if the defendant is mentally retarded. The court shall order such a hearing with the consent of the State. The defendant has the
10	burden of production and persuasion to demonstrate mental retardation by clear and
11	convincing evidence. If the court determines the defendant to be mentally retarded, the
12	court shall declare the case noncapital, and the State may not seek the death penalty
12	against the defendant.
13	(d) The pretrial determination of the court shall not preclude the defendant from
15	raising any legal defense during the trial.
16	(e) If the court does not find the defendant to be mentally retarded in the pretrial
17	proceeding, upon the introduction of evidence of the defendant's mental retardation
18	during the sentencing hearing, the court shall submit a special issue to the jury as to
19	whether the defendant is mentally retarded as defined in this section. This special issue
20	shall be considered and answered by the jury prior to the consideration of aggravating or
21	mitigating factors and the determination of sentence. If the jury determines the
22	defendant to be mentally retarded, the court shall declare the case noncapital and the
23	defendant shall be sentenced to life imprisonment.
24	(f) The defendant has the burden of production and persuasion to demonstrate
25	mental retardation to the jury by a preponderance of the evidence.
26	(g) If the jury determines that the defendant is not mentally retarded as defined
27	by this section, the jury may consider any evidence of mental retardation presented
28	during the sentencing hearing when determining aggravating or mitigating factors and
29	the defendant's sentence.
30	(h) The provisions of this section do not preclude the sentencing of a mentally
31	retarded offender to any other sentence authorized by G.S. 14-17 for the crime of
32	murder in the first degree."
33	SECTION 2. G.S. 15A-2000(b) reads as rewritten:
34	"(b) Sentence Recommendation by the Jury. – Instructions determined by the trial
35	judge to be warranted by the evidence shall be given by the court in its charge to the
36	jury prior to its deliberation in determining sentence. The court shall give appropriate
37	instructions in those cases in which evidence of the defendant's mental retardation
38	requires the consideration by the jury of the provisions of G.S. 15A-2005. In all cases
39	in which the death penalty may be authorized, the judge shall include in his instructions
40	to the jury that it must consider any aggravating circumstance or circumstances or
41	mitigating circumstance or circumstances from the lists provided in subsections (e) and
42	(f) which may be supported by the evidence, and shall furnish to the jury a written list of
43	issues relating to such aggravating or mitigating circumstance or circumstances.

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

1 2	After hearing the evidence, argument of counsel, and instructions of the court, the jury shall deliberate and render a sentence recommendation to the court, based upon the
3	following matters:
4	(1) Whether any sufficient aggravating circumstance or circumstances as
5	enumerated in subsection (e) exist;
6	(2) Whether any sufficient mitigating circumstance or circumstances as
7	enumerated in subsection (f), which outweigh the aggravating
8	circumstance or circumstances found, exist; and
9	(3) Based on these considerations, whether the defendant should be
10	sentenced to death or to imprisonment in the State's prison for life.
11	The sentence recommendation must be agreed upon by a unanimous vote of the 12
12	jurors. Upon delivery of the sentence recommendation by the foreman of the jury, the
13	jury shall be individually polled to establish whether each juror concurs and agrees to
14	the sentence recommendation returned.
15	If the jury cannot, within a reasonable time, unanimously agree to its sentence
16	recommendation, the judge shall impose a sentence of life imprisonment; provided,
17	however, that the judge shall in no instance impose the death penalty when the jury
18	cannot agree unanimously to its sentence recommendation."
19	SECTION 3. Article 100 of Chapter 15A of the General Statutes is amended
20	by adding a new section to read:
21	" <u>§ 15A-2006. Request for postconviction determination of mental retardation.</u>
22 23	"In cases in which the defendant has been convicted of first-degree murder,
23 24	sentenced to death, and is in custody awaiting imposition of the death penalty, the following procedures apply:
24	(1) Notwithstanding any other provision or time limitation contained in
26	Article 89 of Chapter 15A, a defendant may seek appropriate relief
20	from the defendant's death sentence upon the ground that the defendant
28	was mentally retarded, as defined in G.S. 15A-2005(a), at the time of
29	the commission of the capital crime.
30	(2) A motion seeking appropriate relief from a death sentence on the
31	ground that the defendant is mentally retarded, shall be filed:
32	<u>a.</u> On or before January 31, 2002, if the defendant's conviction and
33	sentence of death were entered prior to October 1, 2001.
34	b. Within 120 days of the imposition of a sentence of death, if the
35	defendant's trial was in progress on October 1, 2001. For
36	purposes of this section, a trial is considered to be in progress if
37	the process of jury selection has begun.
38	(3) The motion, seeking relief from a death sentence upon the ground that
39	the defendant was mentally retarded, shall comply with the provisions
40	of G.S. 15A-1420. The procedures and hearing on themotion shall
41	follow and comply with G.S. 15A-1420.
42	SECTION 4. Sections 1 and 2 of this act become effective October 1, 2001,
43	and apply to trials docketed to begin on or after that date. Section 3 of this act becomes

GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF NORTH CAROLINA

- 1 effective October 1, 2001, and expires October 1, 2002. Section 4 of this act is effective
- 2 when it becomes law.